UNITED STATES OF AMERICA EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

United States of America,

Case: 2:25-cr-20506

Assigned To: Hood, Denise Page Referral Judge: Patti, Anthony P.

Assign. Date: 7/8/2025

Description: INFO USA V. SHAIKH (NA)

Muhammad Shaikh,

v.

Defendant.

Violation: 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)

INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY CHARGES:

COUNT ONE

(21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1) – POSSESSION WITH INTENT TO DISTRIBUTE COCAINE)

On or about February 11, 2025, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, Sukhjinder Singh, did knowingly and intentionally possess with intent to distribute 5 kilograms or more of a mixture and substance containing a detectable amount of cocaine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(A)(ii)(II).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION (21 U.S.C. § 853 – Criminal Forfeiture)

The allegation contained in Count One of this Information is hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeitures pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853.

Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853, upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956, the defendant, Muhammad Shaikh, shall forfeit to the United States of America any property constituting, or derived from, any proceeds obtained, directly or indirectly, as the result of such offenses and any property used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of, the offenses. The Government also provides notice of its intent to seek a forfeiture money judgment.

If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendants:

- a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a

third party;

- c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;
- d. has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p).

JEROME F. GORGON, JR. United States Attorney

Thomas Franzinger Chief, Organized Crime Unit

s/C. Barrington Wilkins
C. Barrington Wilkins
Assistant U.S. Attorney
211 W. Fort Street, Suite #2001
Detroit, MI 48226
barrington.wilkins@usdoj.gov

DATE: July 8, 2025

United States District Court			
Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cover Sheet		ase Number 5-20506
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. A	Attorney signing this form to con	plete it accurately in a	Il respects.
Companion Case Information		Companion Case Number:	
This may be a companion case based upon LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)1:		Judge Assigned:	
☐ Yes ⊠ No		AUSA's Initials: s/BW	
Case Title: USA v. Muhamm	nad SHAIKH		
County where offense occu	rred: Wayne		
Check One: ⊠Felony	□Misd	emeanor	□Petty
Indictment/_XInf	rmation no prior comp formation based upon rmation based upon L	prior complaint [c a	ase number: 25-mj-30068] olete Superseding section below].
Superseding Case Informatio	n		
Superseding to Case No:		Judge:	
Corrects errors; no additi	es, different charges or ac matter but adds the additi	lds counts. onal defendants o	g .
<u>Defendant name</u>	Char	<u> </u>	Prior Complaint (if applicable)
<u>Defendant name</u>	Chai		Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Defendant name Please take notice that the below the above captioned case.			

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, or (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.